What Happens in Vegas Doesn't Always Stay in Vegas:

The Dynamics of House Prices and Foreclosure Rates Across Space and Time

Hua Kiefer Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Len Kiefer

Freddie Mac

Diana Wei Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

2019-05-23

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors alone and do not reflect those of The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and Freddie Mac.

Our Contribution

- Specify a Dynamic Spatial Simultaneous Equation System panel model at quarter/state level that
 - Allows simultaneous movement in house prices and foreclosure rates
 - Captures dynamics over time and space
- Identify instruments for house prices and foreclosure rates
- Show that at the state level, there is an amplification mechanism for foreclosure rates
 - Foreclosure shocks have a large and persistent impact on house prices
 - Shocks to housing markets propagate to nearby states
 - A one standard deviation increase in Nevada foreclosure rate leads to
 - 8% decline in Nevada real house prices after 8 quarters
 - 3% decline in California real house prices after 8 quarters

(1) Introduction





0.1%

House prices and foreclosure rates are negatively correlated

Do high foreclosure rates cause lower house prices?

Or do lower house prices drive foreclosure rates up?

Why not both?



Higher house prices drive foreclosure rates lower

- Theory
 - Foster and Van Order (1984) option-based model
- Empirics
 - Bajari, Chu, Park (2008)
 - Foote, Gerardi, Willen (2008)
 - Guiso, Zingales (2013)

Higher foreclosure rates drive house prices lower

- Foreclosure discount of 20% or more (own property)
 - Carroll, Clauretie, Neill(1997)
 - Clauretie and Daneshvary (2009)
 - Harding, Rosenblatt, Yao (2009)
- How about spillovers?
 - After controlling for simultaneity/reverse causality the foreclosure impact on nearby house prices declines to less than 2 percent
 - Campbell, Giglio, Pathak (2011)
 - Hartley (2014)
 - Gerardi, Rosenblatt, Willen (2015)

Two contrasting studies

Foreclosure rates have a large and persistent impact on house prices

- Mian, Trebbi, Sufi (2015)
 - Use variation in state foreclosure laws as I.V.
 - Examine variations in prices around state borders
 - Zipcode level house prices

1 standard deviation increase in foreclosure rate leads to 8-12% decline in house prices over nine quarters

Foreclosure rates only have a small impact on house prices

- Calomiris, Longhofer, Miles (2013)
 - Fully model the dynamics of house prices, forclosures and other variables
 - Estimate a state Panel Vector AutoRegression (PVAR)
 - PVAR assumes all variables are endogenous
 - Identifies impact via recursive identification scheme

1 standard deviation increase in foreclosure rate leads to 2.7% decline in house prices over nine quarters

Spoilers

• Mian, Trebbi, Sufi (2015, hereafter MTS)

1 standard deviation increase in foreclosure rate leads to 8-12% decline in house prices over nine quarters

• Calomiris, Longhofer, Miles (2013, hereafter CLM)

1 standard deviation increase in foreclosure rate leads to 2.7% decline in house prices over nine quarters

• Our Result

1 standard deviation increase in foreclosure rate leads to 7.7% decline in real house prices over nine quarters

(2) Econometric Models

(2.1) Model 1

Panel Vector AutoRegression (PVAR)

• are dependent variables

- are dependent variables
- are time lags effects

- are dependent variables
- are time lags effects
- are state fixed effects

- are dependent variables
- are time lags effects
- are state fixed effects
- constant (normalized so

- are dependent variables
- are time lags effects
- are state fixed effects
- constant (normalized so
- disturbance term

FOD operation

- Forward Orthogonal Difference (FOD) removes the fixed effects, constant
- e.g. for house prices the transformation is (13a, 13b from text):

• After FOD transformation, depends on observations not only at , but also those in future time periods, so it become endogenous

FOD operation removes constant, fixed effects

After FOD operation the equation can be written as:

but is no longer exogenous, so can't estimate with Ordinary Least Squares.

Can estimate with GMM

Use R package panelvar

(2.2) Model 2

Dynamic Spatial Simultaneous Equation System

The DSSES introduces two additional effects compared to the PVAR

- : Simultaneous cross effect
- : Contemporaneous spillover effect

House Price Equation:

Foreclosure Equation:

House Price Equation:

- is real house price growth (quarterly log difference HPI)
- is log foreclosure rate
- is weighted average of neighbors' real house price growth
- is lagged real house price growth
- is lagged log foreclosure rate
- are predetermined control variables

Foreclosure Equation:

- is log foreclosure rate
- is real house price growth (quarterly log difference HPI)
- is weighted average of neighbors' log foreclosure rate
- is lagged log foreclosure rate
- is lagged real house price growth
- are predetermined control variables

- We estimate with a 3SLS approach, which requires instruments
- Following Lee and Yang (2018) we construct our I.V. matrix as

- We estimate with a 3SLS approach, which requires instruments
- Following Lee and Yang (2018) we construct our I.V. matrix as
- are non-transformed endogenous variables

- We estimate with a 3SLS approach, which requires instruments
- Following Lee and Yang (2018) we construct our I.V. matrix as
- are non-transformed endogenous variables
- are predetermined variables

- We estimate with a 3SLS approach, which requires instruments
- Following Lee and Yang (2018) we construct our I.V. matrix as
- are non-transformed endogenous variables
- are predetermined variables
- and are first and second order spatial lag terms

• First estimate with 2SLS

• With asymptotic distribution

• Estimate 3SLS

- With components of estimated by the the 2SLS estimator
- With asymptotic distribution

We can write the DSSES as (Equation 10):

- dependent variables
- predetermined variables, intercept, fixed effects
- shocks

Inverting Equation 10 yields Equation 11

(3) Data

Data

- Our estimation window covers 2005Q1-2018Q1
 - 13.25 years (53 quarters)
- Dependent Variables
 - Quarterly log difference in Real (inflation-adjusted) house prices
 - FHFA All-Transactions House Price Index
 - Deflated by BLS- CPIU: All Items less Shelter
 - Log foreclosure start rate (% of loans starting foreclosure)
 - MBA National Deliquency Survey
- We require instruments for house prices and foreclosure rates.
- We also include additional controls to account for economic and general housing market conditions

Natural population growth as an I.V. for house prices

- To quantify the causal effect of house prices on foreclosures, we use the quarterly change in the growth rate of natural population (i.e., (births deaths)/population) as our instrument
 - Population growth reflects housing demand and is an important variable in many models of house prices
 - When population growth increases, household formation rates tend to rise, driving up housing demand
 - Natural population growth captures lower frequency movements in population reflecting demographic profile of state and less likely to be correlated with contempraneous shocks

ARM reset rate as an I.V. for Foreclosures

- We focus on the loans experiencing a rate increase during their initial rate reset using Black Knight's McDash lien data
- We derive two indicators for our ARM reset calculation
 - First, we create a variable capturing the date when a reset hits
 - An ARM reset is flagged at the introductory expiration date or when the first principle and insurant (P\&I)
 payment amount changes, whichever comes first
 - Then, we compare the scheduled P&I payment from the current month with that of the previous month to identify whether the rate increases at the reset day
 - Compute the percent of outstanding loans in a state that experience a payment shock that quarter

Control variables

- We also include three other variables to help control for economic factors
- Lag of quarterly log difference of each:
 - nonfarm payroll employment
 - per capita income
 - single-family housing permits
- Treat as
 - PVAR: Endogenous
 - DSSES: Predetermined

Summary Statistics

dlrhpi: quarterly log difference in real house price index

Ifcl: log foreclosure start rate

dnpopg: quarterly change in the growth rate of natural population ((births - deaths)/population)

log_arm: log of proportion of active loans experiencing positive payment shock due to ARM reset

dlemp_lag1: 1-quarter lag in quarterly log difference in nonfarm payroll employment

dlperm_lag1: 1-quarter lag in quarterly log difference in single-family building permits

dlpinc_lag1: 1-quarter lag in quarterly log difference in per capita income

Summary Statistics (2005Q1-2018Q1)							
var	mean	sd	min	max	n		
Dependent Variables							
dlrhpi	0.00	0.02	-0.11	0.09	2544		
lfcl	-0.65	0.59	-2.30	1.32	2544		
I.V.s							
dnpopg	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.01	2544		
log_arm	-7.24	0.84	-9.26	-4.12	2544		
Predetermined Va	ariables	6					
dlemp_lag1	0.00	0.01	-0.07	0.03	2544		
dlperm_lag1	-0.01	0.18	-2.47	2.64	2544		
dlpinc_lag1	0.00	0.01	-0.10	0.12	2544		

House Prices and Foreclosure Rates



(4) Empirical Results

- Estimate with GMM (setting
 - Estimation yields coefficients
 - Table in paper
 - Easier to consider aggregations
- Compute Impulse Response Function
- Compute Forecast Error Variance Decomposition
 - The proportion of forecast variance in variable accounted for by exogenous shocks to variable

PVAR(12): 2005Q1-2018Q1



The standardized responses are calculated by dividing the model impulse responses by the sample standard deviations of the response variable.

- Standardized Impulse response of real house price growth (HPA) to log foreclosure (FCL) similar to FCL to HPA
 - CLM find the cumulative 24-quarter HPA to FCL response 79% larger than FCL to HPA
 - We find the cumulative HPA to FCL response 44% larger than FCL to HPA
 - The volatility of foreclosure shocks greater in our period (2005Q1-2018Q1) compared to CLM (1981-2009)



The standardized responses are calculated by dividing the model impulse responses by the sample standard deviations of the response variable.

Forecast Error Variance Decompositions PVAR(12): 2005Q1-2018Q1

Employment						
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.808	0.013	0.087	0.081	0.011	
8	0.521	0.022	0.186	0.235	0.036	
24	0.488	0.032	0.219	0.213	0.048	
	Pe	er capita	income			
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.053	0.916	0.012	0.016	0.003	
8	0.102	0.836	0.013	0.042	0.008	
24	0.106	0.740	0.050	0.066	0.038	
	Sing	le-Fami	ily Permi [.]	ts		
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.055	0.007	0.893	0.044	0.002	
8	0.054	0.009	0.864	0.051	0.021	
24	0.057	0.019	0.829	0.066	0.030	

Real House Prices

horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl			
4	0.040	0.039	0.038	0.718	0.166			
8	0.051	0.034	0.046	0.651	0.218			
24	0.084	0.043	0.175	0.471	0.228			
	Foreclosure							
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl			
		-	•	•				
4	0.004	0.003	0.031	0.029	0.933			
4 8	0.004 0.007	0.003 0.004	0.031 0.132	0.029 0.091	0.933 0.766			

Forecast Error Variance Decompositions PVAR(12): 2005Q1-2018Q1 Response to House Price shocks

Employment						
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.808	0.013	0.087	0.081	0.011	
8	0.521	0.022	0.186	0.235	0.036	
24	0.488	0.032	0.219	0.213	0.048	
	Pe	er capita	i income			
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.053	0.916	0.012	0.016	0.003	
8	0.102	0.836	0.013	0.042	0.008	
24	0.106	0.740	0.050	0.066	0.038	
	Sing	Jle-Fami	ly Permi	ts		
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl	
4	0.055	0.007	0.893	0.044	0.002	
8	0.054	0.009	0.864	0.051	0.021	
24	0.057	0.019	0.829	0.066	0.030	

Real House Prices

horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
4	0.040	0.039	0.038	0.718	0.166		
8	0.051	0.034	0.046	0.651	0.218		
24	0.084	0.043	0.175	0.471	0.228		
Foreclosure							
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
		-	•	P-			
4	0.004	0.003	0.031	0.029	0.933		
4 8	0.004 0.007	0.003 0.004	0.031	0.029 0.091	0.933 0.766		

Forecast Error Variance Decompositions PVAR(12): 2005Q1-2018Q1 Response to Foreclosure shocks

Employment							
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
4	0.808	0.013	0.087	0.081	0.011		
8	0.521	0.022	0.186	0.235	0.036		
24	0.488	0.032	0.219	0.213	0.048		
	Pe	er capita	income				
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
4	0.053	0.916	0.012	0.016	0.003		
8	0.102	0.836	0.013	0.042	0.008		
24	0.106	0.740	0.050	0.066	0.038		
	Sing	Jle-Fami	ily Permi [.]	ts			
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
4	0.055	0.007	0.893	0.044	0.002		
8	0.054	0.009	0.864	0.051	0.021		
24	0.057	0.019	0.829	0.066	0.030		

Real House Prices

horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
4	0.040	0.039	0.038	0.718	0.166		
8	0.051	0.034	0.046	0.651	0.218		
24	0.084	0.043	0.175	0.471	0.228		
Foreclosure							
horizon	dlemp	dlpinc	dlperm	dlrhpi	lfcl		
horizon 4	dlemp 0.004	dlpinc 0.003	dlperm 0.031	dlrhpi 0.029	lfcl 0.933		
horizon 4 8	dlemp 0.004 0.007	dlpinc 0.003 0.004	dlperm 0.031 0.132	dlrhpi 0.029 0.091	lfcl 0.933 0.766		

PVAR Discussion

- PVAR leaves some potential insights on the table
 - Recursive identification imposes some strong restrictions on cross equation effects
 - Doesn't allow for states to influence one another

DSSES Estimation: HPA equation

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
HPA: FCLonHPA (current)	-0.054	0.005	-11.194	0.000
HPA: Spatial_lag	0.444	0.038	11.831	0.000
HPA: owntimelag1	0.228	0.046	4.934	0.000
HPA: cross_FCLlag1	0.050	0.005	10.467	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dnpopg	0.352	0.194	1.818	0.035
HPA: Gamma1_dlemp_lag1	0.459	0.057	8.063	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlpinc_lag1	-0.085	0.021	-4.133	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlperm_lag1	-0.002	0.001	-1.755	0.040

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
FCL: HPAonFCL (current)	-6.684	0.730	-9.161	0.000
FCL: Spatial_lag	-0.044	0.028	-1.539	0.062
FCL: crossHPAlag1	1.212	0.742	1.634	0.051
FCL: owntimelag1	0.932	0.035	27.002	0.000
FCL: Gamma2_log_arm	0.010	0.005	1.774	0.038
FCL: Gamma2_dlemp_lag1	2.699	1.030	2.620	0.004
FCL: Gamma2_dlpinc_lag1	-0.689	0.326	-2.110	0.017
FCL: Gamma2_dlperm_lag1	-0.076	0.020	-3.900	0.000

DSSES Estimation: HPA equation

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
HPA: FCLonHPA (current)	-0.054	0.005	-11.194	0.000
HPA: Spatial_lag	0.444	0.038	11.831	0.000
HPA: owntimelag1	0.228	0.046	4.934	0.000
HPA: cross_FCLlag1	0.050	0.005	10.467	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dnpopg	0.352	0.194	1.818	0.035
HPA: Gamma1_dlemp_lag1	0.459	0.057	8.063	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlpinc_lag1	-0.085	0.021	-4.133	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlperm_lag1	-0.002	0.001	-1.755	0.040

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
FCL: HPAonFCL (current)	-6.684	0.730	-9.161	0.000
FCL: Spatial_lag	-0.044	0.028	-1.539	0.062
FCL: crossHPAlag1	1.212	0.742	1.634	0.051
FCL: owntimelag1	0.932	0.035	27.002	0.000
FCL: Gamma2_log_arm	0.010	0.005	1.774	0.038
FCL: Gamma2_dlemp_lag1	2.699	1.030	2.620	0.004
FCL: Gamma2_dlpinc_lag1	-0.689	0.326	-2.110	0.017
FCL: Gamma2_dlperm_lag1	-0.076	0.020	-3.900	0.000

(4.2) Dynamic Spatial Simultaneous Equation System Spatial lag

DSSES Estimation: HPA equation

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
HPA: FCLonHPA (current)	-0.054	0.005	-11.194	0.000
HPA: Spatial_lag	0.444	0.038	11.831	0.000
HPA: owntimelag1	0.228	0.046	4.934	0.000
HPA: cross_FCLlag1	0.050	0.005	10.467	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dnpopg	0.352	0.194	1.818	0.035
HPA: Gamma1_dlemp_lag1	0.459	0.057	8.063	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlpinc_lag1	-0.085	0.021	-4.133	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlperm_lag1	-0.002	0.001	-1.755	0.040

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
FCL: HPAonFCL (current)	-6.684	0.730	-9.161	0.000
FCL: Spatial_lag	-0.044	0.028	-1.539	0.062
FCL: crossHPAlag1	1.212	0.742	1.634	0.051
FCL: owntimelag1	0.932	0.035	27.002	0.000
FCL: Gamma2_log_arm	0.010	0.005	1.774	0.038
FCL: Gamma2_dlemp_lag1	2.699	1.030	2.620	0.004
FCL: Gamma2_dlpinc_lag1	-0.689	0.326	-2.110	0.017
FCL: Gamma2_dlperm_lag1	-0.076	0.020	-3.900	0.000

DSSES Estimation: HPA equation

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
HPA: FCLonHPA (current)	-0.054	0.005	-11.194	0.000
HPA: Spatial_lag	0.444	0.038	11.831	0.000
HPA: owntimelag1	0.228	0.046	4.934	0.000
HPA: cross_FCLlag1	0.050	0.005	10.467	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dnpopg	0.352	0.194	1.818	0.035
HPA: Gamma1_dlemp_lag1	0.459	0.057	8.063	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlpinc_lag1	-0.085	0.021	-4.133	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlperm_lag1	-0.002	0.001	-1.755	0.040

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
FCL: HPAonFCL (current)	-6.684	0.730	-9.161	0.000
FCL: Spatial_lag	-0.044	0.028	-1.539	0.062
FCL: crossHPAlag1	1.212	0.742	1.634	0.051
FCL: owntimelag1	0.932	0.035	27.002	0.000
FCL: Gamma2_log_arm	0.010	0.005	1.774	0.038
FCL: Gamma2_dlemp_lag1	2.699	1.030	2.620	0.004
FCL: Gamma2_dlpinc_lag1	-0.689	0.326	-2.110	0.017
FCL: Gamma2_dlperm_lag1	-0.076	0.020	-3.900	0.000

DSSES Estimation: HPA equation

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
HPA: FCLonHPA (current)	-0.054	0.005	-11.194	0.000
HPA: Spatial_lag	0.444	0.038	11.831	0.000
HPA: owntimelag1	0.228	0.046	4.934	0.000
HPA: cross_FCLlag1	0.050	0.005	10.467	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dnpopg	0.352	0.194	1.818	0.035
HPA: Gamma1_dlemp_lag1	0.459	0.057	8.063	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlpinc_lag1	-0.085	0.021	-4.133	0.000
HPA: Gamma1_dlperm_lag1	-0.002	0.001	-1.755	0.040

	Beta 3SLS	Std Error	t value	Pvalue
FCL: HPAonFCL (current)	-6.684	0.730	-9.161	0.000
FCL: Spatial_lag	-0.044	0.028	-1.539	0.062
FCL: crossHPAlag1	1.212	0.742	1.634	0.051
FCL: owntimelag1	0.932	0.035	27.002	0.000
FCL: Gamma2_log_arm	0.010	0.005	1.774	0.038
FCL: Gamma2_dlemp_lag1	2.699	1.030	2.620	0.004
FCL: Gamma2_dlpinc_lag1	-0.689	0.326	-2.110	0.017
FCL: Gamma2_dlperm_lag1	-0.076	0.020	-3.900	0.000

- Given the coefficients we can plug into Equation 11:
- Short-run Response to a 1 sd shock (average of all 48 states):
 - 1 sd house price shock after 1 quarter
 - increases house prices 2%
 - decreases the foreclosure rate 13%
 - 1 sd foreclosure shock after 1 quarter
 - decreases house prices 1.6%
 - increases the foreclosure rate 27%
- The long-run cumulative response in the level of house prices to a 1 sd
 - house price shock is a 2.6% increase in house prices
 - foreclosure shock is a 2.0% decrease in house prices

Impulse response functions for 1 std shock to Nevada (NV)

	Cum	ul	ative	House F	Price to	House F	Price Sh	nock
	horizo	n	AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
		4	0.011	0.016	0.010	0.032	0.014	0.009
	;	8	0.009	0.014	0.009	0.031	0.012	0.008
	2	4	0.006	0.008	0.005	0.026	0.007	0.005
	Cum	าน	lative	House F	Price to	Foreclo	sure Sh	lock
h	orizon		AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
	4	-(0.007	-0.011	-0.007	-0.025	-0.010	-0.006
	8	-(0.005	-0.008	-0.005	-0.023	-0.007	-0.004

	Forecl	osure to	b House	Price S	hock	
horizon	AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
4	-0.043	-0.062	-0.040	-0.141	-0.056	-0.036
8	-0.018	-0.026	-0.016	-0.092	-0.023	-0.015
24	0.005	0.008	0.004	-0.023	0.006	0.004
	Forec	losure to	o Forecl	osure S	hock	
horizon	AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
4	0.024	0.034	0.023	0.244	0.032	0.021
8	-0.001	-0.004	-0.001	0.170	-0.001	-0.001
24	-0.013	-0.021	-0.012	0.056	-0.017	-0.011

Impulse response functions for a a std shock to Nevada (NV)

	Cum	u	ative	House F	Price to	House I	Price Sh	lock
	horizo	n	AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
		4	0.011	0.016	0.010	0.032	0.014	0.009
	;	8	0.009	0.014	0.009	0.031	0.012	0.008
	24	4	0.006	0.008	0.005	0.026	0.007	0.005
	Cum	าน	lative	House F	Price to	Foreclo	sure Sh	ock
ł	orizon		AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
	4	-(0.007	-0.011	-0.007	-0.025	-0.010	-0.006
	8	-(0.005	-0.008	-0.005	-0.023	-0.007	-0.004
	24	-(0.001	-0.002	-0.001	-0.019	-0.002	-0.001

		Forecl	osure to	b House	Price S	hock	
horizo	n	AZ	CA	ID	NV	OR	UT
	4	-0.043	-0.062	-0.040	-0.141	-0.056	-0.036
	8	-0.018	-0.026	-0.016	-0.092	-0.023	-0.015
2	4	0.005	0.008	0.004	-0.023	0.006	0.004
Foreclosure to Foreclosure Shock							
		Forec	losure to	o Forecl	osure S	hock	
horizo	n	Forec AZ	losure to CA	o Forecl ID	osure S NV	hock OR	UT
horizo	on 4	Forec AZ 0.024	OSURE to CA 0.034	D Forecl ID 0.023	osure S NV 0.244	hock OR 0.032	UT 0.021
horizo	on 4 8	Forec AZ 0.024 -0.001	0.034 -0.004	D Forecl ID 0.023 -0.001	osure S NV 0.244 0.170	hock OR 0.032 -0.001	UT 0.021 -0.001





(5) Conclusion

- Specified a Dynamic Spatial Simultaneous Equation System panel model at quarter/state level that
 - Allows simultaneous movement in house prices and foreclosure rates
 - Captures dynamics over time and space
- Identified instruments for house prices and foreclosure rates
- Showed that at the state level, there is an amplification mechanism for foreclosure rates
 - Foreclosure shocks have a large and persistent impact on house prices
 - Shocks to housing markets propagate to nearby states
 - A one standard deviation increase in Nevada foreclosure rate leads to
 - 8% decline in Nevada real house prices after 8 quarters
 - 3% decline in California real house prices after 8 quarters